

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/726,738	CASEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Necholus Ogden	Art Unit 1751	

**All Participants:**

(1) Necholus Ogden.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Rima Mitelman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9-25-2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*all*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*all*

#### Part II.

##### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant's rep. agreed to the limitations as described in the examiner's amendment. Accordingly, the claims are allowable over the art of record.*

#### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)